7	√.*±i	-
NO E	Aco Williams	Intervie

Interview Summary

Application No.	
A9/267 27	,,

Examiner

Applicant(e)

Grou

Jeffrey Mullis

Group Art Unit 1207

Rimsa et al.

	rey Mullis (examiner)	(3)
(2) <u>Eug</u>	ene Zagarella (applicants' Attorney)	(4)
Date of	Interview	
Туре:	☑ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to	o 🗌 applicant 📙 applicant's representative).
Exhibit :	shown or demonstration conducted: U Yes	No. If yes, brief description:
Agreem	ent 🗆 was reached. 💢 was not reached.	
Claim(s)) discussed: <u>78-85</u>	
Identific	eation of prior art discussed:	
correspondence of contract changing contract changing changing change of contract change of contract c	onded to claims 78-85 but for which there we be submitted in particular, it was suggested to g "about 1.0 to about 2.5" at line 3 toabout ting "bacterial and synthetic" in line 5 and chapter and synthetic in line 5 and chapter and to claims 79-85 and which were and a side from the limitations in new claim 86 and and and and a claims would be to eliminate "aliphatic".	sted by the examiner that narrower claims which would otherwise as support for their full breadth and which were allowable over the hat a new claim be submitted in which claim 78 was amended by t 1.0 to 2.5; to eliminate "hydruphobic amorphous" in line 2 anging "poly(beta-hydroxyalkanoates)(PHA)" in line 5 to was also told to submit new claims dependent on claim 86 which mended similarly to claim 78 where needed Applicants' attorney was d the extraneous periods in claims 80 and 81, the only changes to biodegradable polyesters" from the last line of claim 79. Applicants'
the clain	ns allowable must be attached. Also, where no bla, a summary thereof must be attached.)	mendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
the clain is availa 1. 🛛	ns allowable must be attached. Also, where noble, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second	no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable separate record of the substance of the interview.
the clain is availa 1. Unless t LAST Of Section	ns allowable must be attached. Also, where noble, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a summary thereof applicant to provide a summary the paragraph above has been checked to indice the paragraph above has been checked to be above the paragraph.	reparate record of the substance of the interview. Sate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP on has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

ì

is also checked.

each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above

US Patent & Trademark Office

Group 1200

FAX

Date:	
Number of pages including cover sheet:	

to:	0 11-
to: Žigena_	Zagarella
Phone:	
Fax phone:	
CC:	
<u></u>	

From:	EXT. J. Mulli
	Joyce Endgers, secretary
	308-2850
Phone:	(703) 305 5489
Phone: Fax phone:	-5G a V

REMARKS:	Urgent For your review	w Reply ASAP	Please comment
	. <u>.</u>		
	·		
	·		•
		sulf t	